

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Bex 1446 Alexandra, Vagana 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,269		11/07/2001	Yoshinori Tajima	08228/018001	2345
22511	7590	05/14/2003			
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY AVENUE SUITE 2800			EXAMINER		
				SPITZER, ROBERT H	
HOUSTON,	1X 7/0	10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	<del>-</del>
				DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A5-4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/045,269	TAJIMA ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert H. Spitzer	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenes b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $igtiz$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)   they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	·		
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17			:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>·</u>	
0. Other:		_	
		Robert H. Spitzer	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1724
May 14, 2003
Part of Paper No. 9

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 10/045,269



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of claims 18-21 is prima facie grounds for non-entry of the Amendment. Also, claim 9 remains indefinite because there is no previous use of "at least one gas group" and "the mixture gas of the at least two specific gases".